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Abstract

Background

The \textit{wMel} strain of \textit{Wolbachia} has been successfully introduced into \textit{Aedes aegypti} mosquitoes and has been shown to reduce the transmission of dengue and other \textit{Aedes}-borne viruses. Here we report the entomological results from phased, large-scale releases of \textit{Wolbachia} infected \textit{Ae. aegypti} mosquitoes throughout three contiguous cities located in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia.

Methodology/principal findings

Local \textit{wMel Wolbachia}-infected \textit{Ae. aegypti} mosquitoes were generated and then released in an initial release pilot area in 2015–2016, which resulted in the establishment of \textit{Wolbachia} in the local mosquito populations. Subsequent large-scale releases, mainly involving vehicle-based releases of adult mosquitoes along publicly accessible roads and streets, were undertaken across 29 comunas throughout Bello, Medellín and Itagüí Colombia between 2017–2022. In 9 comunas these were supplemented by egg releases that were undertaken by staff or community members. By the most recent monitoring, \textit{Wolbachia} was found to be stable and established at consistent levels in local mosquito populations (>60% prevalence) in the majority (67%) of areas.
Conclusion

These results, from the largest contiguous releases of wMel Wolbachia mosquitoes to date, highlight the operational feasibility of implementing the method in large urban settings. Based on results from previous studies, we expect that Wolbachia establishment will be sustained long term. Ongoing monitoring will confirm Wolbachia persistence in local mosquito populations and track its establishment in the remaining areas.

Author summary

The introduction of the naturally occurring wMel Wolbachia strain into Aedes aegypti mosquitoes has been shown to reduce the ability of the mosquitoes to transmit dengue and other viruses. Following engagement with communities to gain acceptance and support, a series of large-scale releases of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes that contained wMel Wolbachia were undertaken across the cities of Bello, Medellin and Itagüi in Colombia. These releases were undertaken under operational conditions with the aim of rapidly scaling the intervention in response to the Zika virus crisis. Mosquito populations were monitored during and after releases to determine the levels of Wolbachia and whether it persisted in the local mosquitoes. Wolbachia was found to be stable and established at consistent levels in local mosquito populations in the majority of areas. Ongoing monitoring in these areas will determine whether Wolbachia persists and also whether it establishes at a high level in the remaining areas. This intervention forms the basis of an epidemiological study to assess the impact of operational deployment of wMel Wolbachia on the reduction of the incidence of notified dengue cases and virologically-confirmed dengue.

Introduction

Aedes aegypti mosquitoes containing the wMel Wolbachia strain have been shown in laboratory studies to have a reduced ability to transmit a range of viruses including dengue, Zika, chikungunya, yellow fever and Mayaro viruses [1–4]. Field trials involving releases of wMel Wolbachia infected Ae. aegypti mosquitoes have shown that Wolbachia can be deployed and established in local mosquito populations [5–15]. In areas where wMel Wolbachia has been established at high levels in local mosquito populations, dengue incidence has been significantly reduced, resulting in near elimination of local dengue transmission in northern Australia [11,12]; 73% reduction in dengue incidence in a quasi-experimental trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia [10]; 77.1% reduction in dengue incidence in a cluster randomised trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia [14]; and 69% reduction in dengue incidence, 56% reduction in chikungunya incidence, and 37% reduction in Zika incidence, in Niterói, Brazil [16].

In large-scale Wolbachia releases covering 86.8 km² and 890,000 people in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, the establishment of Wolbachia within the first two years post-release was heterogeneous and with the prevalence of Wolbachia ranging from ~30% to >80% among neighbourhoods [17]. The heterogeneity in Wolbachia establishment was thought to be due to the complex urban settings, including significant spatial variation in the baseline Ae. aegypti populations and limited access to some areas, such as favela communities. However, the initial Wolbachia-infected Brazilian release strain was insecticide sensitive [5]. This was found to inhibit the spread of Wolbachia and required the creation of a new strain with an insecticide
resistance profile closely matching local mosquitoes [5]. Despite intermediate Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes, Wolbachia releases still resulted in significant reductions in the incidence of both dengue and chikungunya in Rio de Janeiro [17] and in the neighbouring city of Niterói [16].

To further develop and evaluate the scalability of wMel Wolbachia releases as an effective intervention for use in large urban settings, a series of Wolbachia mosquito releases were undertaken across the cities of Bello, Medellín, and Itagüí in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. These releases commenced with an initial small-scale pilot release in 2015 in the neighbourhood of Paris in Bello. Following the declaration of Zika as a public health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO) [18] WHO assessed the available evidence for Wolbachia and determined that it warranted the pilot deployment of Wolbachia under operational conditions, including monitoring and evaluation and generation of evidence on its effectiveness [19]. Following this recommendation, Wolbachia releases were expanded initially across Bello (2016–2017), followed then by Medellín (2018–2021) and Itagüí (2019–2020). These large-scale releases were undertaken under operational conditions, mainly involving the releases of Wolbachia infected adult Ae. aegypti from vehicles, with the goal of covering areas as quickly as possible using a standardised release method. Supplementary releases of Wolbachia infected Ae. aegypti eggs using mosquito release containers were undertaken in some areas. Entomological outcomes were monitored by collection of mosquitoes using BG Traps and indoor Prokopack aspirator collections [20] and testing of these mosquitoes for Wolbachia infection [11,21]. Here we describe the entomological outcomes of these releases.

Methods

Ethics statement

a. Written approvals were obtained from the Bioethics Committee of the Research Headquarters of the University of Antioquia and the Ethics and Research Committee of the University IPS.

b. Bioethics Committee of the Research Headquarters of the University of Antioquia (Releases in Paris neighborhood, Approval number 13-05-514); Bioethics Committee of the Research Headquarters of the University of Antioquia (Releases in Bello, Approval number 05-15-2014); Ethics and Research Committee of the University IPS (Releases in Bello and Medellín, Approved 14 January 2017, ratified 25 October 2017).

c. No human participants or donors were involved in any activities.

Intervention area

Wolbachia mosquito releases were undertaken across three municipalities (Bello, Medellín, Itagüí) located in the Aburrá Valley, within the Department of Antioquia in the northeast of Colombia. The three municipalities contain a combined population of 3.3 million people [22] and cover an area of 135 km². The metropolitan areas, located mainly within Medellín and Bello were located between 1400–1500 m above sea level, with the remaining areas located on the Eastern and Western sides of the valley at elevations between 1500–2100 m. Due to the high elevation and proximity to the equator, the municipalities experience a highly stable, warm year-round climate with average monthly daily temperatures ranging between 21.8 to 23.1˚C (S1 Fig) [23]. Each city is divided into administrative units called comunas, which were used for the purposes of wMel mosquito release planning and reporting of entomological and
public health outcomes in Bello (11 comunas) and Medellín (16 comunas, two of which were divided in half giving 18 areas) (Fig 1). Itagüí has six comunas, but was treated as a single unit for operational and monitoring purposes.

Community engagement

Paris neighbourhood pilot releases. For the Wolbachia releases in the Paris neighbourhood, community engagement activities followed those previously described [8]. This included consultation with key stakeholders and community groups, one-on-one meetings, displays at community events and centres and door-knocking. Prior to commencement of releases, residents were surveyed. The outcomes, timing and acceptance rates of all surveys are provided in Table 1.

Large-scale public acceptance across Bello, Medellín and Itagüí. For Wolbachia mosquito releases that were undertaken between 2017–2021, community engagement activities followed the Public Acceptance Model (PAM) as previously described [11,24,25]. To cover three million residents, the PAM method was modified to facilitate this expanded scope. The process included:

1. Raising broad community and stakeholder awareness across the release areas. Communication and community outreach campaigns were undertaken prior to commencement of releases and included advertising on billboards, placards, television, radio, social media, distribution of pamphlets to households, and attendance at community events. Engagement activities were targeted, temporally and spatially, to ensure project socialisation prior to support surveys. However, the nature of these activities would mean residents outside of target areas would also be exposed to the campaign. A summary of these activities is provided in S1 Table. These activities continued throughout the release period and served to provide updates to the community.

2. Quantitative surveys to assess community support. Cross-sectional surveys were undertaken by independent consultants to understand the levels of knowledge (dengue, Wolbachia), acceptance for releases and preferred methods for dissemination of information. The outcomes, timing and acceptance rates of all surveys are provided in Table 1.

3. Establishment of an issues management system. To allow community members to contact the project with any questions and concerns, a complaints, claims and requests system was established that facilitated and recorded community feedback. This allowed continuous monitoring of community sentiment and open lines of communication while also allowing a rapid response to any concerns.

4. Community reference groups. In previous PAM implementations, single community reference groups (CRGs) typically covered the entire release city. However, with greater numbers of residents, a more granular approach was undertaken across the Aburrá Valley. In each comuna a CRG was established with representatives from the communities, including businesses, churches, schools, government bodies, media, health centres and the wider community. The members independently reviewed communications and community engagement activities, disseminated information to the wider community, and brought any issues or concerns to the attention of the project.

Approval for releases. Deployments of Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti in Bello, Medellín and Itagüí were regulated as a research project in partnership with the Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia. In addition to regulatory approvals below, ethical review was undertaken by
the Bioethics Committee of the Research Headquarters of the University of Antioquia and the Ethics and Research Committee of the University IPS. Following the success of an initial trial in Paris, Bello, approval for larger scale releases was obtained from several levels of government. This included the Health Secretary of Bello municipality (local level), Health Secretary of Medellin municipality (local level), Health Secretary of Itagui (local level), Ministry of Health in Bogota (national level), Health Secretary of Area Metropolitana (a conglomerate of 10 municipalities in the area) and Governor of Antioquia (department level). The National
Authority on Environmental Licences was consulted for the initial pilot in Paris but did not require additional consultation for wider releases.

Mosquito production

**wMel Aedes aegypti release lines.** For the initial Paris neighbourhood releases in 2015–2016, a local wMel Ae. aegypti line (wMel-COL) was created by backcrossing infected virgin females from the Cairns, Australia wMel-infected Ae. aegypti line [1] to F2 uninfected males from a colony (WT2) established from material collected from the Paris and Altos de Niquia neighbourhoods. The uninfected wild-type mosquitoes were collected as eggs from 41 positive ovitraps from households homogeneously distributed in Paris and Altos de Niquia comunas. Eleven generations of backcrossing were undertaken, followed by regular introduction of wild-type males (10%) added to the broodstock cages. The colony was maintained and amplified until 2018.

In May 2018 a second wMel Wolbachia Ae. aegypti line (wMel-COL2) was created with the aim of more closely matching the pyrethroid resistance profile of the release line with the wild-derived material in Medellin release areas. We started with three generations of outcrossing females from wMel-COL with wild-derived F1 males from Medellin comunas. Then, the mosquitoes were exposed to Permethrin-impregnated papers (0.75% Al) for 1 hr in tubes [26]. Surviving individuals were collected. Mosquitoes were bloodfed and the progeny were reared for 2 generations. Individuals were again exposed to Permethrin for 1 hr and surviving individuals were collected. Progeny from these females were reared to adults and virgin females were mated during the next 4 generations with wild-derived F1 males from a colony (WT2) established from mosquitoes collected from Popular, Aranjuez, Doce de Octubre, San Javier and Belén comunas.

Finally, after the F5, 2 consecutive rounds of selection for knockdown resistance (*kdr*) mutations selection were undertaken. Individuals were screened (both Broodstocks & WT) for *kdr* mutations (F1534C, V1016I). These two alleles are highly prevalent throughout the Americas [27], including Colombia generally and the Aburrá Valley specifically [28,29]. Allele-specific PCR was undertaken as previously described [30–32]. The double mutants were used to establish the wMel-COL2 line.

**Mosquito rearing.** The wMel-Ae. aegypti lines (wMel-COL and wMel-COL2) were maintained as previously described [11]. Briefly, 600–800 larvae were reared in 40 x 25 x 8 cm plastic trays containing 1.5 L of reverse osmosis (RO) water and fed on Tetramin Tropical Flakes (Tetra Holding Inc., Germany—77101). Pupae were sex sorted using a sex sorter described in previous publications [34,35] and were then transferred into 30 x 30 x 30 cm cages (BugDorm, MageView Science Co. Ltd., Taiwan) at a density of 800 to 1000 pupae per cage (ratio 3:1 females:males).
Female mosquitoes (5–7 days old) were blood fed weekly until repletion (usually 10–15 mins). Mosquitoes were fed using blood-soaked gauze pads or via Hemotek feeders (Hemotek Ltd, UK). We only used human blood, obtained from blood banks, which would have been discarded by not attending quality assurance policies (e.g., blood bags with insufficient volume etc). All blood-bank supplied blood used for mosquito feeding had been tested negative for Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, Chagas disease, syphilis, HIV, and HTLV (Labmedico, Medellín, Colombia). In addition, blood was screened again for DENV from 2015 until early 2018 and from 2018 until the end of releases for DENV, CHIK and ZIKA by qRT-PCT [36].

A proportion of the eggs produced by these colonies were used for the subsequent broodstock generation [11]. Remaining eggs were used for mass production, as follows. Eggs were hatched into 130 x 29 x 3 cm trays containing 5 L of RO water, at densities of 10,000–15,000 eggs per tray. Larvae were fed with a liquid diet (62.1% tuna meal, 37.9% beef liver made up in RO water). Pupae were sex sorted and transferred to large mesh cages (90 x 90 x 20 cm) at a density of approximately 15,000 pupae per cage (ratio 3:1 females:males). Emergent mosquitoes were maintained on sucrose solution (10%), and fed on human blood as described above for three gonotrophic cycles. Eggs were collected from containers lined with filter paper, placed on absorbent paper towel and stored in sealed plastic bags for three days at 27˚C, after which time they were removed from the plastic bags and air dried under insectary conditions (27˚C, 80% relative humidity).

Rearing of adult mosquitoes for releases. Eggs from the mass production colony were hatched and reared to late instar/pupal stages as described above. Late instars and pupae (250) were then placed into individual plastic cups (200 mL) containing 40–50 mL of tap water. When approximately 90% of immatures had pupated, a mesh cover was placed on each cup and adults were maintained for 3–4 days on 20% sucrose solution. Release cups were transferred to crates for transport to the release site. Release cups were maintained under ambient temperature conditions for an average of 6 hrs during transfer from the insectary to the release locations.

Preparation of eggs for releases. For the initial pilot releases in Paris in 2015–2016 and the releases in Manrique A, Aranjuez A and Santa Cruz comuna in 2018–2019, eggs were harvested from colony cages on oviposition strips of red cotton duck cloth that were placed in adult cages for three to five days after blood-feeding. Once collected, the oviposition strips were then dried and stored at 80% relative humidity until required [11]. Prior to releases, the density of eggs/cm on each strip was estimated to determine the length of egg strip to be cut to obtain approximately 100 eggs for subsequent use in the Mosquito Release Containers (MRCs) or for placement in natural, immature development sites.

In the Itaguí releases, eggs were gently brushed from the egg papers and were then passed through a 300–400 µm sieve to remove any body parts. Eggs were weighed using a scale accurate to +/- 1 mg, and the numbers of eggs were then estimated assuming an average egg weight of 8.8 µg per egg. The hatch rate of each batch of eggs was determined by aliquoting 5 replicates of 200 eggs each into 50 ml tubes each containing 40 ml of deoxygenated tap water and 32 mg of ground TetraMin Tropical Flakes (Tetra Holding Inc., Germany—77101). After 5 hours, the contents were transferred to trays containing 400 mL of tap water along with 40mg of TetraMin Tropical flakes. After 48 hrs the numbers of immatures were counted, and the average hatch rate percent was calculated. Egg capsule contents were prepared by mixing the following w/w: 50% tuna meal, 35% beef liver powder and 15% baker’s yeast. Each capsule contained 260 mg of diet and 150 viable eggs as determined by the above egg hatch assessment.

Larval diet was passed through a 425 µm sieve and then mixed with the eggs in a 12 L container by rotating the container for 2–3 minutes. Capsules (HPMC [hydroxypropylmethyl] cellulose size 00, FARMACAPSULAS, Barranquilla, Colombia) were then filled with the diet and egg
mixture using a manual capsule filler (Manual Capsule Filler Machine, Model no. CN-240CL, CapsulCN, Zhejiang, China) and then stored in sealed plastic containers at 18˚C.

**Diagnostic testing of samples for Wolbachia.** Colony and field collected mosquitoes were screened for *Wolbachia* using TaqMan qPCR on a Roche LightCycler 480 using an internally controlled qualitative assay for the presence or absence of *Wolbachia* as previously described [11,21]. Cycling conditions were: x1 95˚C for 5 minutes, x45 95˚C for 10 seconds, 60˚C for 15 seconds, 72˚C for 1 second with single acquisition and x1 40˚C for 10 seconds. *Wolbachia* was detected using WSP primers (F: 5’-CATTGGGTGTGTGGTGTTGGTGAATGA-IowaBlack-3’, R: 5’-ACACAGCTTTTACCTGACACAG-3’ with probe: 5’-LC640-TCCTTTGGAACCCGCTGT-GAATGA-IowaBlack-3’). *Ae. aegypti* RpS17 reference detected with primers F: 5’-TCCGTGGTATCTCCATCAAGCT-3’, R: 5’-CACTTCCCGACGTAGTTGTC-3’ and probe 5’FAM- CAGGAGGAGGAACGTGAGCGCAG-BHQ1-3’.

For quality assurance of the mosquito colonies, a total of 10 adult mosquitoes were randomly sampled from each cage at four to five days after blood-feeding, and were screened for DENV and CHIKV by qRT-PCT [36]. Primer and probe sequences are as follows; pan-DENV F: AAGGACTAGAGGTTAGAGGAGACCC and R: CGTTCTGTGCCTGGAATGATG, with probe 5’-Lc640 (or Cy5)- AACAGCATATTGACGCTGGAGAGACCA-G -Iowablack -3’ and CHIKV F: 5’-AAGCTYCGCGTCCTTTACCAAG3’, R: 5’-CCAAATTGTCCYGGTCTTCCT-3’ with probe 5’HEX-CAATTCTGCCTGGAATGATG-BHQ1-3’. RNA underwent one freeze-thaw cycle with qRT-PCR reaction performed using the Lightcycler Multiplex RNA Virus Master kit (Roche) with the following conditions; 50˚C for 10 mins, 95˚C for 30 sec, followed by 45 cycles of 95˚C for 3 sec, 60˚C for 30 sec, 72˚C for 1 sec and 1 cycle of 40˚C for 1 sec.

**Wolbachia** mosquito line fitness testing. The wMel-COL Wolbachia mosquito line was characterised in terms of key fitness traits including adult female fecundity, egg hatch rate, Wolbachia infection rate, cytoplasmic incompatibility, Wolbachia maternal transmission efficiency, insecticide susceptibility using previously described methods [1,21,26]. Fecundity was assessed with a total of 50 blood-fed female mosquitoes. Egg hatch rates were determined by immersing egg strips in trays containing 250mL of water and a small amount of larval diet, after which egg papers were dried down and stored for 3 days before immersing a second time. The numbers of larvae divided by the number of eggs from the first and second hatch were combined to determine the hatch rate of eggs. Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) was tested by reciprocally crossing the wMel-COL line with the uninfected wild-type Paris neighbourhood (F0 or F1) line. Hatch rates in the compatible and incompatible crosses were compared. For the maternal transmission experiments, Wolbachia infected virgin females were mated with wild-type F2 males over a 24-hour period. After 24 h a human blood meal was provided, and individual engorged females were placed into oviposition cups. Eggs were collected from each cup and adult females and progeny were (n = 50) were processed for Wolbachia infection using the TaqMan qPCR as described above. The wMel-COL release line was found to induce CI in uninfected mosquitoes, transmit Wolbachia from mother to offspring and have acceptable fecundity and egg hatch rates (Table 2).

The insecticide susceptibility of the wMel-COL Wolbachia mosquito line was assessed using previously described methods [26]. Insecticide type and concentrations were in line with recommendations for *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes and followed the WHO standard bioassay method [26]. Susceptible mosquitoes from the Rockefeller reference strain were used for positive and negative controls. Wild-type F0 or F1 *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes collected from the Paris neighbourhood were also used as controls. In 2018, a second wMel Wolbachia *Ae. aegypti* line was generated (wMel-COL2), and this was screened for permethrin resistance.
Vector competence was measured for wMel-COL and wild-derived WT1 lines. Immature and adult mosquitoes were reared following Moreira et al [37]. Mosquito infection and DENV genomic quantification was undertaken according to Rancès et al. and Frentiu et al. [38,39]. DENV copy number was normalised against Rps17.

Table 2. wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* lines for release in Bello, Medellin and Itagüi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release line</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wMel-COL</td>
<td>Backcrossing source</td>
<td>Paris and Altos de Niquia comunas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backcrossing method</td>
<td>Eleven generations of backcrossing and followed by introduction of 10% wild-type males added to cages each generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wolbachia infection rate</td>
<td>Percentage of offspring with wMel; wMel-infected female x uninfected male; 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fecundity</td>
<td>Eggs per iso-female; 50 females per 3 cages; 50.7 ± 19.7 (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hatch rate</td>
<td>Percentage of hatched eggs per iso-female; wMel-infected female x wMel-infected male; 50 females per 3 cages; 65 ± 26.9% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cytoplasmic Incompatibility</td>
<td>Percentage of hatched eggs per iso-female; 50 females per 3 cages; uninfected female x wMel-infected male; 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal transmission</td>
<td>Percentage of offspring with Wolbachia; 50 females per 3 cages; 2 offspring per female; 98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticide mortality</td>
<td>Percentage of mosquito mortality when exposed to a given concentration of insecticide; three replicates of 14–25 mosquitoes; percentage mortality ± s.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced vector competence</td>
<td>Percentage reduction in DENV; wMel-infected vs uninfected; qPCR whole body DENV copies relative to Rps17; DENV Serotype 1–94.3 ± 2.4% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wMel-COL Wild-derived Lines</td>
<td>Deltamethrin 0.05% 100% Permethrin 0.75%–100% Bendiocarb 0.1%–40.8 ± 36.1% Malathion 5%–100% Deltamethrin 0.05%–100% Permethrin 0.75%–94.3 ± 2% Bendiocarb 0.1%–34.9 ± 38.1% Malathion 5%–100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wMel-COL2</td>
<td>Backcrossing source</td>
<td>Popular, Aranjuez, Doce de octubre, San Javier and Belén</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backcrossing method</td>
<td>Three generations of outcrossing with wild-derived males from different Medellín comunas for three generations, followed by two outcrossing with wildtype males selected by Permethrin 0.75% and finally crossed with wild-derived (from comunas with high resistance to permethrin) males at rates between 10–20%. Finally, <em>kdr</em> mutation selection was undertaken for two common alleles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wolbachia infection rate</td>
<td>Percentage of offspring with wMel; wMel-infected female x uninfected male; 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fecundity</td>
<td>Eggs per iso-female; 50 females per 3 cages; 45.5 ± 22.7 (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hatch rate</td>
<td>Percentage of hatched eggs per iso-female; wMel-infected female x wMel-infected male; 50 females per 3 cages; 83.4 ± 25.9 (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cytoplasmic Incompatibility</td>
<td>Percentage of hatched eggs per iso-female; 50 females per 3 cages; uninfected female x wMel-infected male; 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal transmission</td>
<td>Percentage of offspring from iso-females infected with <em>Wolbachia</em>; 47 wMel-infected females mated with uninfected male; 5 offspring screened per female; 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticide mortality</td>
<td>Three replicates of 25 mosquitoes; percentage mortality ± s.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional testing has been published elsewhere [2,33].
Releases of Wolbachia-infected *Ae. aegypti*

*W*Mel-infected *Ae. aegypti* releases were targeted to residential and commercial areas. Areas deemed unsuitable for *Ae. aegypti*, such as uninhabited forested or vegetated areas, open or vacant areas, sporting fields, large industrial areas, and major transport infrastructure (major roads, highways) were generally excluded from releases. In some areas, security concerns or a lack of access to private property prevented deployment in all residential areas. The size (km²) of each release area and the total size of each comuna were calculated, along with the residential population (S2 Table). Adult mosquito release rates were calculated by averaging the number of mosquitoes per release tube and multiplying this by the number of release tubes used in each area per week. For mosquito release containers (MRCs), release rates were determined by counting emerging mosquitoes from a subset of MRCs and multiplying this by the number deployed in each release area each week.

**Pilot releases in Paris.** Pilot releases were undertaken in the París comuna in Bello municipality in two phases over 18 months: Phase 1 releases throughout the central Paris neighbourhood area between June and December 2015, and Phase 2 releases throughout the rest of Paris comuna between June and August 2016 (Fig 1). The releases involved both adult and egg release methods, using the *w*Mel-COL release strain (see Strain Development methods above). For the adult releases, cups of 3–4 day old adult mosquitoes (approximately 150 mosquitoes per cup) were stacked in crates and transported from the insectary facility at Universidad de Antioquia to the release site by vehicle. For the Phase 1 Paris neighbourhood releases, the releases were undertaken on foot by members of a local community organisation Fundacion Mi Gente. Each week, volunteers from the local area met at a community centre and were provided cups of adult mosquitoes for releases. Each volunteer had a prescribed area where they would undertake releases. The volunteers released the mosquitoes from the street, between 5–6 hrs, each week. Each pair of volunteers released between 40–50 cups, with a total of 723 cups of mosquitoes released each week. Egg releases were undertaken by staff and involved the placement of MRCs in shaded locations throughout the community. The MRCs were white plastic polypropylene buckets with lids, with four 6 mm emergence holes drilled around the perimeter of the bucket, and a plastic lid. Containers were filled with 2 L of tap water and 2g of Tetramin, along with an egg strip containing approximately 200 eggs. Containers were placed in areas near the front boundary of houses. MRCs were replaced every 2–3 weeks. A total of 40 MRCs were set each week. For the Phase 2 releases in the remaining areas of the Paris comuna, the releases were undertaken as above, except that the adult mosquito releases were undertaken by staff. A total of 682 cups of mosquito were released per week for 10 weeks. MRC releases were undertaken as above, with 44 MRCs set each week for 10 weeks.

**Large-scale mosquito releases throughout Bello and Medellin.** Expanded releases throughout the remaining areas of Bello and in Medellín were phased over three years from late-2016 to mid-2019. Release cups containing approximately 150 mosquitoes per cup were stacked in crates and transferred to release sites in vehicles. In the release sites, the vehicles followed predetermined release routes with release locations at approximately 50 m intervals along publicly accessible roads. Overall, this equated to an average of 267 release locations per km² and varied between 130 releases per km² in Santa Ana and 377 releases per km² in Buenos Aires. At each release location, a staff member would open a release container by removing the mesh covering from the container which was extended outside of the window of the vehicle. The release cup was gently shaken and the adult mosquitoes were released from the cup. Once completed, the vehicle would proceed to the next release location. Each release vehicle contained approximately 700 release cups, with releases being undertaken over a 5–6 hr period.
Releases were undertaken between 7–13 hrs. Releases were undertaken weekly in each area for between 10–15 weeks in Phase 1 and 8–33 weeks in Phase 2.

For the Bello releases between October 2016 and November 2017, the Medellín case-control intervention areas (Aranjuez A, Manrique A and Santa Cruz) and Belén, El Poblado, Guayabal, Laureles-Estadio, Villa Hermosa releases between August 2017 and October 2017, the wMel-COL release strain (see Strain Development methods above) was used. These releases were classified as phase one releases. In subsequent releases (hereby referred to as phase two releases), the wMel-COL2 release line was used. These releases were undertaken between May 2018 and April 2019 in Bello and between October 2018 and October 2019 in Medellín. Release of wMel-COL2 line Wolbachia-infected mosquitoes in the case-control intervention areas (Manrique A, Aranjuez A and Santa Cruz) began in August 2018 and concluded in March 2019. During this time supplementary egg releases were also undertaken in each of these areas. These involved placement of Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti (wMel-COL2) egg strips or egg filled capsules, each containing approximately 100–150 eggs, into natural breeding sites. Approximately 1389, 843 and 1495 egg strips/capsules were distributed each week in Manrique A, Aranjuez A and Santa Cruz, respectively, over a four-week period (July–August 2018).

Adult mosquito releases of wMel-COL2 line in the previously untreated arms of the case-control study area (Aranjuez B, Manrique B and Popular) were undertaken between January and May 2022, after completion of the epidemiological study. Maps of phase 2 releases for Bello, Medellín, including the case control area, and Itaguí show the estimated number of mosquitoes released 100m² resolution (S2 –S7Figs).

**Mosquito releases in Itaguí.** An initial phase (18 weeks) of Wolbachia mosquito releases were undertaken every one to two weeks between August 2019 and March 2020. These involved adult mosquito releases from a vehicle (555–590 release points per week over 11 weeks) in industrial areas, as described above for Bello and Medellín, and community-based egg releases in residential areas where participants from community groups and organisations volunteered to set up the MRCs around their homes. The community-based releases involved a specifically designed cardboard mosquito release container known as a Wolbicasa, with instructions for users on how to fill the container with water and add an egg capsule containing Wolbachia mosquito eggs. The volunteers then placed and maintained the MRCs outside their houses for 2–3 weeks. Between 57 and 1509 MRCs were distributed each week over 16 weeks. In late March 2020, all release and monitoring activities in Itaguí were stopped due to social distancing restrictions in response to the COVID-19 outbreak. In August 2020, social distancing restrictions were eased, and egg and adult releases recommenced. From August 2020 until November 2020, egg releases were undertaken by staff who distributed and set up Wolbicasas in public spaces throughout Itaguí (both industrial and residential areas). Releases were undertaken each week for 11 weeks, with between 1204 and 4324 Wolbicasas setup each week. From October 2020 until November 2020 adult mosquito releases were undertaken from motorcycles at 297–300 locations in industrial areas each week over 8 weeks. Finally, from November 2020 until December 2020 egg releases via MRCs were undertaken in public spaces at 1204–3032 locations in residential areas each week.

**Field monitoring**

Mosquito collections were undertaken during and after releases using either BG Sentinel (BGS) traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany, Product number NR10030) or aspirator collections [20] (Improved Prokopack Aspirator Model 1419, John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, Florida, USA). BGS traps were placed in protected outdoor locations, near houses. Mosquitoes were collected from the BGS traps every 1–2 weeks. Aspirator collections were
undertaken inside houses over a 10–15 minute period, with operators visiting each accessible room and aspirating mosquitoes from resting locations (walls and behind curtains, under and behind furniture). Mosquito samples were returned to the laboratory for sorting, morphological identification and counting. *Aedes aegypti* samples were stored in 70% ethanol solution prior to screening for *Wolbachia* infection status.

During releases the density of collections (either BGS or aspirator collections) were approximately 16 per km² (one per 0.0625 km²). Monitoring generally commenced within 1–4 weeks of releases and *Ae. aegypti* samples were screened for *Wolbachia* every month during releases. The sampling frequency varied due to logistical constraints and the large areas that were monitored (weekly numbers of BGS and aspirator collections peaked at 516 and 616, respectively). After completion of releases, mosquitoes were screened periodically, initially every month for up to 12 months after releases, then at 6–12 monthly intervals thereafter. Each month the *Wolbachia* infection frequency was calculated by dividing the number of samples that tested positive for *Wolbachia* by qPCR by the total number of samples tested. The sample sizes shown in Figs 2–5 represent the total number of samples tested per month (sample sizes varied due size of the reporting area and the number of collections each month, e.g., weekly, fortnightly or monthly collections.

Disruptions to field monitoring occurred between 16 March 2020 and 19 April 2021 due to social distancing restrictions in response to the COVID-19 outbreak, during which time no field collections were undertaken. In the Paris neighbourhood, post-release monitoring was undertaken for 5.1 years after releases were completed. In Bello and Medellín, post-release monitoring was undertaken for between 1.8–2.6 years after releases, except for the case-control study areas where monitoring was undertaken in three areas for 2.1–2.6 years after releases. No post-release monitoring was undertaken in the three control areas where releases were completed in April 2022.

Training, data storage & mosquito population analysis

To ensure data integrity, WMP has developed customised web and mobile applications referred to as Core Data. Technologies used to develop the platform include Django, Python, Javascript and ODK-X applications.

The Core Data platform enables planning and completion of mosquito releases, and the collection of samples for *Wolbachia* measurement. An offline-enabled mobile app, with standardised data forms delivered on a map-based interface enables field data collection. A web-based field planning app allows field coordinators to develop release and monitoring plans on a map and manage the scheduling and assignment of field tasks to distributed field teams. Custom-built dashboards provide spatially enabled reporting of *Wolbachia* incidence results from individual traps and aggregated to reporting areas across the release site. The system was first implemented in Colombia in January 2017. Project implementation data captured prior to 2017 has been imported into the platform from spreadsheets.

Entomological data was exported from Core Data Entomological. Analysis of field and wMel introgression data was conducted using R through RStudio. Data was collated and visualised using several R packages including ‘ggplot2’, ‘tidyverse’, ‘lubridate’ and ‘ggh4x’. The data is available at ‘https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24045993.v1’.

Results

Pilot releases in Parı́s

Releases involving the wMel-COL line were undertaken in the neighbourhood of Parı́s for 21 weeks between June–December 2015. Subsequent releases in the three surrounding
neighbourhoods (Los Sauces, Maruchenga and Nueva Jerusalem) in the Paris comuna were undertaken for 10 weeks between July–December 2016. Overall, an average of 12,460 Wolbachia mosquitoes were released per km² per week, across both release periods (S2 Table). In both the initial release and subsequent expansion, the wMel infection frequency in mosquitoes was high at the end of the release period but declined shortly after (Fig 2). However, despite no additional releases in the surrounding areas in the Paris comuna, the wMel frequency increased after this decline and has persisted in the local mosquito population, generally above 80%, for over 40 months (Fig 3).

Bello and Medellin Phase 1 releases with the wMel-COL line

Phase 1 releases involving the wMel-COL line were undertaken in ten Bello comunas between October 2016 and November 2017. Both the duration of releases varied between 10–15 weeks and the numbers of Wolbachia mosquitoes released ranged between 12,352 and 41,563 per km²/week (S2 Table). During the final month of releases, the prevalence of Wolbachia in field mosquitoes was high (60–80%) in only four areas (Altos de Niquia, Guasimalito, La Madera and Santa Anna), with the remaining areas found to have low wMel infection prevalences of between 20–45%. Monitoring over the following 1–6 months found that the wMel infection prevalence had decreased to less than 25% across all areas (Fig 3). Due to the failure of wMel Wolbachia to persist in the mosquito populations after releases, despite the high prevalence of Wolbachia in field populations of mosquitoes during releases and the extended duration of these releases (generally between 10–15 weeks), no further releases of the wMel-COL line were undertaken.

The six areas within the case control study were designated as intervention (Aranjuez A, Manrique A, Santa Cruz) or untreated (Aranjuez B, Manrique B, Popular). Releases into the three case control intervention comunas using the wMel-COL strain were conducted from April to December 2017. The releases were undertaken over 15 weeks with between 37,975 and 39,259 mosquitoes per km²/week (S2 Table). Only intermediate infection rates were found in mosquitoes at the completion of the releases (Aranjuez A 55%, Manrique A 55%, Santa Cruz 45%). In each comuna, wMel levels rapidly declined after releases ceased.
Bello and Medellín Phase 2 releases with the wMel-COL2 line

The wMel-COL2 (insecticide resistance matched; described in wMel Aedes aegypti release lines above) Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti line was released throughout Bello from May 2018 to April 2019 (Fig 3 and S2 Table). Field monitoring activities were paused from April 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and recommenced in September-November 2021, after which time the wMel infection frequency was found to be uniformly high across all Bello comunas (81.1 to 95.9%) (Table 3 and Fig 3).

Releases of the wMel-COL2 Wolbachia mosquito line were undertaken across the 12 Medellín comunas between October 2018 and October 2019 (S2 Table). Compared to Bello, Wolbachia establishment in Medellín was highly variable (Fig 4 and Table 3). In comunas Buenos Aires, Castilla, Doce de Octubre, Guayabal, La Americas and La Candelaria Wolbachia infection frequencies were subsequently found to be high (76–98%) when monitoring recommenced in September to November 2021 (Fig 4 and Table 3). In El Poblado, San Javier and Villa Hermosa Wolbachia infection frequencies were found to be low (18–38%) when monitoring recommenced in July-September 2021 (Fig 4 and Table 3). In the three remaining comunas, Belen, Laureles-Estadio and Robledo the Wolbachia infection frequency was found to be at intermediate levels (33–56%) in September 2021 (Fig 4 and Table 3).
There was no clear association between the number of releases and the outcome in terms of the level of *Wolbachia* establishment. The heterogeneity of the landscape and the fact that the releases of mosquitoes from vehicles were limited to publicly accessible roads, combined with the variable release period, meant that the overall cumulative dosing of released mosquitoes per km$^2$ varied significantly across the Medellín release areas. The cumulative release rates varied from 388,560/km$^2$ in El Poblado to 1,045,182/km$^2$ in Buenos Aires (average 769,458/km$^2$) (S2 Table). In Medellín there was a clear association between the cumulative numbers of mosquitoes released per km$^2$ and the overall *Wolbachia* infection frequency in mosquitoes measured between July and September 2021 (S8 Fig). The overall cumulative release numbers in each of the six areas where *Wolbachia* infection frequencies were high (76.0–92.3%) ranged from 746,611 to 1,045,182 mosquitoes per km$^2$ (average 942,152 mosquitoes per km$^2$). In comparison, overall cumulative release numbers in each of the six areas where *Wolbachia* infection frequencies were low (18.4–56.4%) ranged from 388,560 to 843,623 mosquitoes per km$^2$.
To examine the heterogeneity in release numbers within the release areas, we mapped the cumulative numbers of mosquitoes released in 100 x 100m grid squares for each comuna. The release numbers varied within some sites, with significant areas having no releases or only limited numbers of mosquitoes released (S4 – S6 Figs). For example, in El Poblado, with 18.4% Wolbachia prevalence at last reading, 23.8% of the target release area had no releases undertaken due to the restricted access for the release vehicles. This area had high numbers of highrise buildings and gated apartment blocks which precluded access to release vehicles. This resulted in a patchwork effect, whereby a significant proportion of the area was underdosed in terms of release numbers. Similar patterns were found in Belen (14.2% no releases / 32% Wolbachia prevalence at last reading) and Robledo (19.0% no releases / 51.4% Wolbachia prevalence at last reading), which also had significant numbers of highrise buildings and gated apartment blocks. Other comunas that had high proportions of the areas without releases included Bella Vista 22.1%, Fontidueno 21.0%, Guasimalito 40.5%, Guayabal 24.2%, La Cumbre 22.8%, and Niquia 21.8%, despite achieving high levels of Wolbachia establishment. Overall, the landscape features in the release areas, particularly those with high numbers of highrise buildings and gated apartment blocks, may have contributed to poor Wolbachia establishment.
In the case control intervention areas, releases of the wMel-COL2 line were undertaken from April 2018 to March 2019 (S2 Table). In addition to the release numbers in S2 Table, releases from egg strips and capsules placed in natural breeding sites in the case-control intervention areas occurred during a four-week period (July–August 2018). No estimates could be made of the adults emerging from these releases. Within Aranjuez A and Santa Cruz, wMel-COL2 releases resulted in high Wolbachia prevalence (Fig 5). However, within Manrique A, the Wolbachia infection frequency has been highly variable (Fig 5). Wolbachia mosquito releases in the untreated case-control areas (Aranjuez B, Manrique B and Popular) were undertaken between February and April 2022, with Wolbachia prevalence ranging from 60–80% at the completion of releases.

**Itagüí deployment**

Itagüí mosquito releases involving the wMel-COL2 mosquito line were undertaken between August 2019 and November 2020, and incorporated both adult mosquito releases, and eggs releases via both community and staff-based methods. Combined adult, community egg and
MRC releases were undertaken between August and March 2020 (S2 Table). In late March 2020, all release and monitoring activities in Itaguí were stopped due to social distancing restrictions in response to the COVID-19 outbreak. In September 2020, egg and adult mosquito releases recommenced and were undertaken for 8 and 14 weeks, respectively (S2 Table). Wolbachia monitoring was not commenced in Itaguí until February 2020, and was paused from April 2020 due to COVID-19 restrictions. At the completion of releases the Wolbachia infection frequency in mosquitoes was 90% (Fig 6). Periodic monitoring in April and November 2021 found that the Wolbachia infection frequency in mosquitoes has remained high at 63.6 and 92.3%, respectively (Fig 6).

Discussion

We have detailed the release of wMel Wolbachia mosquitoes across the complex urban settings of Medellín, Bello and Itaguí, Colombia. Together these comprise 3.3 million people living in an area of 135 km². To our knowledge, this represents the largest single implementation of any method involving the release of mosquitoes in the world. This includes Wolbachia replacement methods that aim to introduce Wolbachia into mosquito populations to reduce pathogen transmission [6,8,10–17,25,40,41], or a range of different suppression methods involving sterile insect technique [42], incompatible insect technique [43–45] and combinations thereof [46–48], and transgenically modified mosquitoes containing a dominant lethal gene [49,50], that aim to reduce the size of the mosquito population.

Large scale community and stakeholder engagement and communication campaigns [11,12,24] were successful in raising broad awareness and high levels of acceptance for releases (S1 Table). Most community members were familiar with the conventional control methods including source reduction and application of insecticides to suppress mosquito abundance. Messaging to the communities emphasised the continuance of these preventative methods in parallel with Wolbachia mosquito releases. In the city of Medellín, the Health Secretariat complemented the National Dengue Control Program by adding spraying with insecticides when there was an increase in the density of mosquitoes. This was measured using ovitraps and identification of mosquitoes with arboviruses in the entomo-virological surveillance [51]. In the cities of Bello and Itaguí, the National Dengue Control Program was maintained, which only recommends the spraying of insecticides when there are outbreaks of the disease. During the reporting period, no outbreaks occurred in any of the three cities. Interestingly, in Medellin it
was noted that during 2021, the number of properties treated with insecticides was 17 times lower compared to 2020, due to operational issues and the low circulation of arboviruses in mosquitoes and the low incidence of dengue [51]. It is anticipated that Wolbachia establishment may reduce the frequency of reactive insecticide spraying in response to local disease transmission as was found in Yogyakarta, Indonesia [52].

The variable outcomes in terms of the wMel Wolbachia mosquito releases in the current study are in contrast to the previous releases of wMel in North Queensland and Indonesia that showed a relatively constant and rapid increase of Wolbachia infection in mosquitoes after approximately 12 rounds of releases (12–24 weeks depending on weekly or fortnightly release cycles) [10–12,14], followed by establishment of wMel at a high level and its persistence in the local mosquito population within 6 months of completion of releases. The initial pilot release in the Paris neighbourhood in Bello was typical of these previous releases, and although there was an initial drop in wMel infection frequency in the Paris neighbourhood after completion of releases, the frequency recovered and reached >90% within 10 months of completion of releases.

For the subsequent expanded Paris comuna and Bello and Medellín Phase 1 releases, we observed a trend of medium to high wMel infection frequencies in mosquitoes during the release periods (10–15 weeks); however, there was a rapid decline in the wMel infection prevalence in mosquitoes, across all areas, over the proceeding 6 months. This trend was similar to that observed in the initial small-scale releases in Tubiacanga, Brazil [5] that involved releases of a wMel mosquito line with low insecticide resistance, compared with wild caught mosquitoes that were subsequently found to have high resistance to pyrethroid insecticides. In Tubiacanga, re-releases involving wMel on a pyrethroid resistant mosquito background that was matched to the local mosquito population, resulted in high wMel Wolbachia frequency after 18 weeks of releases, and the frequency of Wolbachia remained at 85–90% one year after releases [5]. In the Colombian releases reported here, we similarly conclude that the improved matching of the pyrethroid resistance profile of the wMel release material with the field populations of mosquitoes resulted in local Wolbachia establishment. Given pyrethroid-resistance is widely distributed in Ae. aegypti populations, future Wolbachia deployments should pay attention to maintaining insecticide resistance in release lines.

Wolbachia infection frequencies remained intermediate (<60%) at the time of last monitoring in seven of 30 release areas, all of which are in Medellín. Various factors may have contributed to this variability, including the lower overall cumulative mosquito releases numbers in these areas (S2 Table and S8 Fig) and the heterogeneity in the landscape features which limited the access of release vehicles. For the areas in Medellín where Wolbachia was established in the mosquito populations, releases occurred for between 20–31 weeks and with release rates of between 30,381 to 52,259 mosquitoes per km² per week. These release data provide some guidance to future operational programs on the required duration and density of releases.

Large scale releases of wMel Wolbachia in areas of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, reported that Wolbachia establishment was variable in some areas, with moderate Wolbachia prevalence during releases (30–60%), but not self-sustaining in mosquito populations after the completion of releases [7]. The heterogeneity in Wolbachia establishment was thought to be due to the complex urban settings, including significant spatial variation in the baseline Ae. aegypti populations and limited access to some areas, such as favela communities. Mathematical modelling studies predict that the spread and establishment of Wolbachia will be slower in landscapes with high spatio-temporal variation in mosquito demographics and key environmental parameters [53]. Given the large scale of the releases across Medellín, it is likely that there were similar small-scale heterogeneities in Ae. aegypti abundance or unknown environmental factors related to Wolbachia establishment. Improved release strategies, involving the tailored and
supplementary releases of mosquitoes into niche habitats that can’t be reached by vehicle-based releases of adults from public roads, may facilitate more uniform Wolbachia establishment.

The average temperatures in Medellín and Bello (average daily temperatures between 21.8–23.1°C) were relatively mild compared with other areas where Wolbachia has been successfully implemented (Australia 21.7–27.9°C; Indonesia 25.4–27.0°C). At an average temperature of 22°C Ae. aegypti immature development times, including embryonic development, and larval and pupal stages are approximately double (21–25 days) those observed at 28°C (12–13 days) [54]. Together with an extended gonotrophic cycle of 8 days at 20°C, compared with 2–3 days at 26–30°C [55], means that the generational turnover of Ae. aegypti is likely to exceed 4–5 weeks in Medellín, compared with 2–3 weeks at warmer temperatures. The extended mosquito development times in Medellín may result in slower Wolbachia establishment. Ongoing monitoring in areas with intermediate Wolbachia infection frequencies (Belen, El Poblado, Laureles-Estadio, Robledo, San Javier, Villa Hermosa) will determine whether wMel Wolbachia becomes established in the local mosquito populations.

Despite the challenges due to interruptions to Wolbachia mosquito release and monitoring activities due to COVID-19, there are several key lessons that may lead to improved operational outcomes from future Wolbachia mosquito releases. First, attention needs to be paid to understanding the insecticide resistance profile of the local mosquito populations and matching the profile of released mosquitoes to the local mosquitoes. Despite the initial establishment of Wolbachia in mosquitoes in the Paris releases, the subsequent Phase 1 releases in Bello and Medellín were unsuccessful due to the lower pyrethroid-resistance levels in the released mosquitoes, compared with the local wild-type mosquitoes. Given that pyrethroid-resistance levels are widespread in Ae. aegypti, attention should be paid to maintaining insecticide resistance in release lines. This should include a pre-release study of local Ae. aegypti populations to facilitate identification of insecticide resistance profiles, along with periodic checks of released mosquitoes to ensure equivalence. Second, careful consideration needs to be given to the planning and design of mosquito release activities to ensure adequate dosage of released mosquitoes. In Medellín, some areas contained large numbers of high rise buildings and gated communities which were not suited to the release of mosquitoes from public roadways. These areas may require a more tailored release strategy to ensure adequate coverage. In Selangor State Malaysia, Wolbachia mosquito releases were undertaken in high density urban settings containing medium storey flats (up to 5 floors) and high rise apartments (up to 18 floors) [41]. In these settings, releases were undertaken on a grid basis within flats on the first and second floors, and on a grid basis on every third floor within the high rise apartments. Releases continued until Wolbachia frequencies exceeded 90%, with intensive monitoring of mosquito population across different floors of the flats and apartments using ovitraps [41]. Wolbachia establishment was high in these areas, but required high density releases inside the high rise apartments and flats and intensive monitoring [41]. Based on the low Wolbachia establishment in similar areas in Medellín, releases may need optimisation to ensure adequate dosage of released mosquitoes. In Medellín, some areas contained large numbers of high rise buildings and gated communities which were not suited to the release of mosquitoes from public roadways. These areas may require a more tailored release strategy to ensure adequate coverage. In Selangor State Malaysia, Wolbachia mosquito releases were undertaken in high density urban settings containing medium storey flats (up to 5 floors) and high rise apartments (up to 18 floors) [41]. In these settings, releases were undertaken on a grid basis within flats on the first and second floors, and on a grid basis on every third floor within the high rise apartments. Releases continued until Wolbachia frequencies exceeded 90%, with intensive monitoring of mosquito population across different floors of the flats and apartments using ovitraps [41]. Wolbachia establishment was high in these areas, but required high density releases inside the high rise apartments and flats and intensive monitoring [41]. Based on the low Wolbachia establishment in similar areas in Medellín, releases may need optimisation to ensure adequate dosage of released mosquitoes. This may require manual releases of mosquitoes throughout apartment blocks and gated communities. This will likely require the release of higher numbers of mosquitoes in or around these areas and a tailored engagement strategy with apartment occupiers and administrators to facilitate access to these buildings. Third, the scale of the releases in the current study (coverage of 135 km²) necessitated a reduced monitoring intensity compared with releases that have been undertaken previously [11,12]. Following the declaration of Zika as a public health emergency by the WHO and the guidelines/recommendations outlined within this declaration [18], the pace of Wolbachia deployment was greatly increased. This necessitated larger release areas, particularly in Medellín, which resulted in large monitoring areas and area wide estimates of
Wolbachia infection. However, we recognise that heterogeneities in local mosquito abundance and landscape features affect Wolbachia establishment that would not be identified using low-density monitoring. Future monitoring of large-scale operational deployments of Wolbachia may therefore need to be optimised to suit the local setting, perhaps based on prioritisation of representative areas for more intensive and frequent monitoring, as opposed to periodic monitoring across all release areas. This may provide more timely feedback on Wolbachia release performance and provide guidance for additional mosquito releases in areas where Wolbachia establishment is progressing slowly or is unlikely to become established.

While describing the operational complexities and disrupted monitoring of large-scale wMel Wolbachia mosquitoes releases across a large heterogeneous urban area, we remain optimistic that over 3.3 million residents have been afforded long-term protection of Wolbachia. Generally, within six months of release completion, Wolbachia was stable and established at consistent levels (>60% prevalence) in the majority (67%) of the release areas. However, ongoing monitoring in these areas will determine whether Wolbachia persists and whether it establishes in the remaining areas. The impact of these wMel Wolbachia deployment on the reduction of the incidence of notified dengue cases and virologically-confirmed dengue is described in Velez et al. [56]. Therein, using an interrupted time series analysis, the incidence of dengue was shown to be reduced by 95% in Bello, 94% in Medellin and 97% in Itagüi, following establishment of wMel at ≥60% prevalence, compared to the pre-intervention period and after adjusting for seasonal trends. However, low patient enrolment in the case control study complicated analysis for this aspect of the work.

Supporting information
S1 Table. Engagement Activities. Participation activities are those where members of the community directly interact with WMP staff and partners. Communication activities are semi-targeted advertising. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti Mosquito Release Numbers. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Medellin Climate Data January 2016—April 2023. The average daily temperature per month is indicated in dark blue. The cumulative monthly rainfall (mm) is indicated in light blue. Data is derived from the weather station located at the Medellin Olaya Herrera Airport and extracted from the National Climate Data Centre (USA). Precipitation data from 2020 to 2021 was absent from the available data set. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected Aedes aegypti within six comuna of Bello in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. Each comuna was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (maps produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative boundaries for the municipal government of Bello (https://www.datos.gov.co/Ordenamiento-Territorial/Divisi-n-Pol-tico-Administrativa-Barrios-Bello-Ant/pnhh-ccwd) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way. (TIF)
S3 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* within four comuna of Bello in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. Each comuna was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (maps produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative boundaries for the municipal government of Bello (https://www.datos.gov.co/Ordenamiento-Territorial/Divisi-n-Pol-tico-Administrativa-Barrios-Bello-Ant/pnhh-ccwd) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* within six comuna of Medellín in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. Each comuna was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (maps produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative boundaries for the municipal government of Medellín (https://data.metabolismofcities.org/library/maps/35283/view/) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* within 6 comuna of Medellín in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. Each comuna was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (maps produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative boundaries for the municipal government of Medellín (https://data.metabolismofcities.org/library/maps/35283/view/) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* within the 6 Medellín case control areas in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. Each comuna was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (maps produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative boundaries for the municipal government of Medellín (https://data.metabolismofcities.org/library/maps/35283/view/) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way. (TIF)

S7 Fig. Phase 2 release & monitoring of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti* within Itaguí in the Aburrá Valley, Colombia. The area was divided into a 100m² grid with grid squares lacking mosquito releases omitted (map produced in QGIS version 3.16.1 using administrative for the municipal government of Itaguí (https://www.datos.gov.co/Ordenamiento-Territorial/Localizaci-n-Geogr-fica-de-los-Barrios-del-Municipio/didi-drqa)) and OpenMapTiles basemap layer (https://openmaptiles.org/) with CARTO light design (https://carto.com/)). Release gradient was determined by using GPS coordinates of each release event and assigning the
number of wMel-infected mosquitoes to a corresponding grid square. Monitoring numbers were determined in the same way.

(TIF)

**S8 Fig. Relationship between wMel prevalence monitoring & phase 2 releases per km² of wMel-infected *Aedes aegypti***. The predicted line from a linear model fit between prevalence of wMel at time of last monitoring and the number of wMel-infected mosquitoes released per km² in a given area. Values are provided in **S2 Table**.

(TIF)
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